

Surunbākī, repopulated to prevent the Berbers of the region from settling there.

The town enjoyed more tangible prosperity in the 5th/11th century, becoming the second city of the amīrātate of the Aftasids [q.v.] of Badajoz; the governors appointed were second-ranking officers of the amīrātate such as 'Ubayd Allāh al-Djarrāz, cousin of al-Muzaffar, killed fighting the 'Abbādids in 442/1051; al-Mutawakkil, the last Aftasid sovereign, served his apprenticeship as a governor there while his brother ruled in Badajoz. This information is supplied by al-Idrīsī who, in his two surviving works, evokes the prosperity of the region, on the road from Badajoz to Alcácer do Sal (Kaṣr Abī Dānis), the principal port of the amīrātate.

Evora prospered until the capture of the city by the Portuguese warlord Giraldo Sempavor in 556/1161, as is proved by the presence of prestigious Muslim families like the Banū Wazīr who played an important part in the *fitna* which accompanied the decline of the Almoravids. Arab biographical authors and the geographer Yākūt underlines the intellectual dynamism of the city during the 5th/11th and 6th/12th centuries; Ibn 'Abdūn al-Yāburī (d. 528/1134) was one of its most distinguished representatives.

The perimeter wall, privileged witness of this Arab history, poses problems of dating. García y Bellido has detected, on the line of the Roman wall, an "ancient" installation and construction of the wall. A double-sided inscription, discovered outside the site, evokes two phases of construction: that of the 4th/10th century, following the sacking of the city by the Galicians, and that of the restoration of the wall by Sidray b. Wazīr between 541/1147 and 546/1151. The discovery of an elaborate installation, at the base of the curtain, in tile and brick, traversing a Roman villa of the *rua* of Burgos, as well as the general arrangement of the wall, seem to confirm construction in the Umayyad period and subsequent revival and restoration. The restoration of the 7th/13th century also corresponds to the last phase of the Islamic history of Evora, associated with a new period of autonomy under the government of Sidray b. Wazīr who minted coins in 540-1/1146.

**Bibliography:** 1. Sources. Idrīsī, *Nuzhat al-muštāk fi 'khirāt al-āfāk*, ed. as *Al-Idrīsī opus geographicum*, Naples-Rome 1975, and *Uns al-muhādi wa-rāwd al-furādi*, ed. and tr. M.J. Mizal, *Los Caminos de al-Andalus en el siglo XII*, Madrid 1989, tr. R. Dozy et M. de Goeje, *Description de l'Afrique et de l'Espagne*, repr. Leiden 1968; Ibn Hayyān, *al-Muktabas min anbā' ahl al-Andalus*, ii, ed. Makkī, Beirut 1973, iii, ed. M. Antuña, Paris, 1937, v, ed. P. Chalmeta, Madrid 1979, tr. Vigueira-Corriente, Madrid 1981; Rāzī, *La description de l'Espagne d'Ahmad al-Rāzī*, tr. à partir de textes en Castillan et en Portugais, ed. E. Lévi-Provençal, in *And.*, viii (1953); *Crónica del moro Rasis*, ed. D. Catalán and S. De Andres, Madrid 1975.

2. Studies. Borges Coelho, *Portugal na Espanha Arabe*, 2 vols. <sup>2</sup>Lisbon 1989; A. García y Bellido, *A recinto mural romano de Evora—Liberalitas Julia*, in *Conimbriga*, x (1971), 85-92; A. Goulart, *Duas inscrições árabes inéditas no Museu de Evora*, in *A cidade de Evora*, lxviii-lxxix (1987), 3-13; B. Pavón Maldonado, *Ciudades y fortalezas lusomusulmanas. Crónicas de vigies por el sur de Portugal*, in *Cuadernos de Arte y Arqueología*, v; A. Sidarus, *Um texto árabe do século X relativo à nova fundação de Evora e aos movimentos muladi e berbere no ocidente andaluz*, Evora 1994; C. Torres, *O Garb al-Andaluz*, in J. Mattoso, *História de Portugal*, Lisbon

1992, i, 362-437; Ch. Picard, *Le Portugal musulman. L'Occident d'al-Andalus sous domination islamique*, Paris 2000.

(CH. PICARD)

✓ **YADA TASH** (T.), lit. rain stone, in Arabic texts appearing as *hadjar al-maṭar*, this being a magical stone by means of which rain, snow, fog, etc., could be conjured up by its holder(s). In particular, knowledge and use of such stones has been widespread until very recent times in Inner Asia.

Belief in the existence of stones and other means of controlling the weather has been widespread throughout both the Old and New Worlds (see Sir J.G. Frazer, *The golden bough, a study in magic and religion*, abridged ed., London 1922, 75-8). Belief in a stone seems to have been general amongst the early mediaeval Altaic peoples of Inner Asia, or at least, it is imputed to them by early Chinese sources and by Muslim writers on the early Turks; it may, accordingly, have been part of the Turks' ancient shamanistic beliefs. Several Islamic writers on the Turks mention it from the early 3rd/9th century onwards. The early traveller in Central Asia Tamīn b. Bahr [q.v.] gives it as one of the wonders of the Turks, the stone being held by the king of the Toguzghuz [q.v.] and no-one else (cited in Ibn al-Fakīh, 329, Fr. tr. Massé, 388-9; also in V. Minorsky, *Tamīn ibn Bahr's journey to the Uyghurs*, in *BSOAS*, xii [1947-8], 285). A certain Abu 'l-'Abbās 'Isā b. Muḥammad al-Marwazī related from the Sāmānid amīr Ismā'īl b. Ahmad [q.v.] that infidel Turks used the rain stone to bring down darkness and hailstones against the Sāmānid army (cited in Yākūt, *Buldān*, ed. Beirut, ii, 24-6, s.v. *Turkestan*). Abū Dulaf in his *First Risāla* attributes to the Kimāk [q.v.] a stone which attracts water (Ger. tr. A. von Rohr-Sauer, *Des Abū Dulaf Bericht über seine Reise nach Turkestān, China und Indien neu übersetzt und untersucht*, Bonn 1939, 21, 50). Gardīzī relates the story that the stone went back to Japhet, son of Noah, and was subsequently inherited by Turkish peoples like the Oghuz, Karluq and Khazar (*Zym al-akhbār*, ed. Habibī, Tehran 1347/1968, 256). Mahmūd Kāshgharī says that he witnessed its use as part of a magical ceremony (*kahāna*) amongst the Yaghma [q.v.] in Semireč'e (*Dīwān lughāt al-turk*, Tkish. tr. Atalay, iii, 3, 159, s.v. *yat*).

Belief in the rain stone's powers apparently passed from the early Turks to the Mongols (with the original *yat/yad* appearing in Mongolian as *đada*). Its use appears, e.g. in the story of Cīngiz's rise to power, when the son of Cīngiz's then ally Wang Khān used it for bringing down snow on their Nayman enemies (*Secret history of the Mongols*, Ger. tr. E. Haenisch, *Die Geheime Geschichte der Mongolen*, <sup>2</sup>Leipzig 1948, 43), and Cīngiz's son Toluy employed the services of a shaman from the Turkish tribe of the Kangħlī [q.v.] to conjure up snow and icy weather against the Tungusic Djürčen in northern China some thirty years later (*Rashīd al-Dīn*, tr. J.A. Boyle, *The successors of Genghis Khan*, New York 1971, 36-7). Such practices have lasted amongst Mongol peoples almost to modern times, being attested amongst *inter alios* the Kalmucks, the Buryats and, in the early 20th century, the Khalkha of the Ordos in Inner Mongolia (Mostaert). Information about beliefs of this kind seems to have reached Marco Polo, where in his travel narrative he mentions the "devilish enchantments" of the Turco-Mongol Kara'unas/Caraonas in bringing down darkness upon their enemies, and the magical powers of Kashmārī and Tibetan shamans in the circle of the Great Khān Kubilay at his palace of Shan-tu in northern China (Yule-Cordier, *The Book of Ser Marco Polo*, <sup>3</sup>London 1902, i, 98, 105, 166, 168, 301, 309-11; and cf. also *The*

Dr. Ahmet ÖĞRETN

## TÜRK KÜLTÜRÜNDE YADA TAŞI VE <sup>VXIII.</sup> YÜZYIL SONU OSMANLI - RUS SAVAŞLARINDA KULLANILMASI

Türk kültür tarihine baktığımızda, yada taşı diye bilinen taş vasıtası ile, bir nevi sihir yoluyla kar ve yağmur yağdırıldığının pek çok örneklerine rastlamaktayız. Bu hususta Çin kaynaklarında olduğu gibi İslâm kaynaklarında (Arap, Fars ve Osmanlı) da bilgi vardır. Arapça İslâm kaynaklarında *hacerü'l-metâr*, Farsça kaynaklarda *seng-i metâr* (=yağmur taşı), *seng-i ceda* (=ceda taşı) diye geçen taşa muhtelif Türk lehçelerinden Yakutça'da *sata*, Altayca'da *cata*, Kıpçak grubu lehçelerde *cay* adı verilmektedir.<sup>1</sup> Bunun yanında İbrahim Kafesoğlu, Yada taşı'na Türkçe'de kaş denildiğini *Eski Uygur Sözlüğü*'nden naklen bildirmektedir.<sup>2</sup>

Bu taşın adının imlaşı da kaynaklarda şu şekillerdedir: *yat* (yat), *yede* (يەد), *yada* (يادا), *cede* (جده); bu işle uğraşanlara da *yatçı*, *yedeci*, *yadacı*, *cedeci* denir.<sup>3</sup> Ahmed Vefik Paşa, *Lehçe-i Osmâni*'sında *yeda* ve Şemseddin Sâmî *Kamûs-i Türkî*'sında *yeda* yahut *yede* (يەد-يادا) imlâsını kullanmışlardır.

*Tarama Sözlüğü*, "yada taşı, eskiden usûlüne göre kullanılmışta yağmur yağdırıldığına inanılan bir taş, yağmur taşı"<sup>4</sup> derken, *Ferhengi Ziya*'da "Seng-i yede, sihir yahut mucize kabilinden yağmur celbeden bir nevi taş." kaydından sonra yada'nın yeşim taşı olduğu, yeşim taşının Türkler tarafından yada ittihaz edildiği belirtilmektedir.<sup>5</sup>

*Osmâni Tarih Deyimleri ve Terimleri Sözlüğü* de Yeşm maddesinde, Eski Eserler Ansiklopedisi'ne dayanarak şu açıklamayı yapıyor. "En eski bir Türk antikasıdır. Eski milletler buna yağmur taşı derler. *Yat* da tabir ederler. *Yat*; kehanet demektir. *Yatlamak* sihir yaptırmak demektir. Eski Türkler bu taşla sihir yapıp yağmur yağdırırlardı derler."<sup>6</sup>

Dar boyutlu bir çalışmada da, yada taşı ile kehanet, başlığı altında, "Eski Türk kamlarının en önemli özelliklerinden birisi de yada-yede-cada-cede gibi

<sup>1</sup> Abdülkadir İnan, *Tarihte ve Bugün Şamanizm*, Ankara 1995, s. 160-161; Faruk Sümer, "Eski Türklerde Yağmur ve Kar Yağdırma Adeti", *Resimli Tarih Mecmuası*, Cilt IV, Sayı 44, Ağustos 1953, s. 2534.

<sup>2</sup> İbrahim Kafesoğlu, *Türk Millî Kültürü*, İstanbul 1984, s. 291.

<sup>3</sup> Köprülüzade Mehmed Fuad, "Eski Türklerde dini-sihri bir anane", *Darulfünûn Edebiyat Fakültesi Mecmuası*, Cilt IV, Sayı 1, İstanbul 1925, s. 10.

<sup>4</sup> Türk Dil Kurumu, *Tarama Sözlüğü*, VI, Ankar 1972, s. 4189.

<sup>5</sup> Ziya Şükûn, *Farsça-Türkçe Lugat Gencine Güftar Ferhengi Ziya*, II, İstanbul 1948, s. 1227.

<sup>6</sup> Mehmet Zeki Pakalın, *Osmâni Tarih Deyimleri ve Terimleri Sözlüğü*, III, İstanbul 1983, s. 634.