

BRILL

DIE WELT DES **ISLAMS** brill.com/wdi

lave Diyanei

Kütüphanesi

<sup>4</sup>rastırmaları

**Envisioning Turco-Arab Co-Existence between Empire and Nationalism** 

> Alp Yenen Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands a.a.yenen@hum.leidenuniv.nl

## Abstract

The idea of a continued Turco-Arab co-existence under the Ottoman Sultanate migh: appear counterfactual or marginal - if not nostalgic - from the sober vantage of knowing "the end of history". The Ottoman Empire neither survived the Great War nor made way for a multinational co-existence of Turks and Arabs. For contemporaries, however, different models of federalism and multinationalism offered solutions to save the Ottoman Empire and safeguard Turco-Arab co-existence. While the federalist ideas of Ottoman Arabs are far better known in the academic literature, in regards to Ottoman Turks, the commonplace interpretations follow the teleology of the Turkish nation-state formation. In order to correct this misperception, I will illustrate the existence of corresponding Turkish voices and visions of federalism and multinationalism. Envisioning Turco-Arab co-existence was a serious feature of policy debates, especially in the years of crisis from the Balkan Wars to the settlement of post-Ottoman nation-states in the aftermath of the First World War.

## Keywords

Arabism - Turkism - Ottomanism - Federalism - Decentralism - Young Turks

0 1 Temmu 2021

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN

SONRA GELEN DOKÜMAN

## Introduction1

"My friend, this country can only survive like the Austro-Hungarian Empire." 2

According to the memoirs of Muhammad Kurd 'Alī, Cenab Şehabeddin, a prominent Ottoman-Turkish writer, uttered these words to his fellow Ottoman-Syrian journalist while they were participating in an Ottoman propaganda tour across the Arab provinces after the outbreak of the Arab Revolt of 1916. If we are to believe Muhammad Kurd 'Alī's recollection, their consensus was that a new model of imperial co-existence had to be adopted in order to save the empire. What they could not have known at that time was that not only the Ottoman Empire, whose doom was on everyone's lips, but also the Habsburg Empire would not survive the end of the Great War.3 In a matter of a few years, multinational empires would be replaced by a multitude of post-imperial nationstates. But contemporaries like Şehabeddin and Kurd 'Alī viewed alternative he houtcomes on the "horizon of expectation" as possible.4 They saw potential in ideas that would later fail or become irrelevant. They considered future paths that eventually no one would take or that went nowhere. The idea of a continued Turco-Arab co-existence under the Ottoman Sultanate might therefore appear counterfactual or marginal - if not nostalgic - from the sober vantage of knowing "the end of history", in which the Ottoman Empire would neither survive the Great War nor make way for a multinational co-existence of Turks and Arabs. For contemporaries, however, the different models of federalism and multinationalism could still save the Ottoman Empire.

The Habsburg Empire was one of various models of federalism and multinationalism proposed by many contemporaries to solve the Ottoman dilemma

recent and comprehensive contribution by Ü. Gülsüm Polat, Türk-Arap İlişkileri: Eski Evaletler Yeni Komsulara Dönüşürken (1914-1923) (İstanbul: Kronik Kitap, 2019), which was published during the last revisions of this paper. I would like to thank Mustafa Aksakal, Remzi Cağatay Çakırlar, Talha Çiçek, Süreyya Emre, Ramazan Erhan Güllü, M. Sükrü Hanioğlu, Murat Kaya, Hasan Kayalı, Maryam al-Khasawneh, Jazaa Khodair, Ömer Koçyiğit, Nicholas Kontovas, Soumaya Louhichi-Güzel, Leyla von Mende, Ramazan H. Öztan, Alp Eren Topal, Kerem Uygun, and Florian Zemmin for helping me in various ways. Unless cited otherwise, I am responsible for all translations. In addition to the three anonymous reviewers, editor Rainer Brunner provided a detailed review that made the final manuscript decisively better. Despite all the help, I am alone responsible for the remaining errors and misjudgments.

Muhammad Kurd 'Alī, al-Mudhakkirāt (Damascus: Maṭba'at al-Taraqqī, 1948-51), I, 145. See also Ali Bilgenoğlu, Osmanlı Devleti'nde Arap Milliyetçi Cemiyetleri (Antalya: Yeniden Anadolu ve Rumeli Müdafaa-i Hukuk Yayınları, 2007), 89-90, note 259.

The idea that the Habsburg Empire was "doomed to destruction" was not as popularized as the "sick man of Europe" cliché about the Ottoman Empire. Nevertheless, after the end of the Habsburg Empire, this teleology of collapse came to dominate the historiography of Austria-Hungary. John Deak, "The Great War and the Forgotten Realm: The Habsburg Monarchy and the First World War", The Journal of Modern History 86:2 (2014), 336-80.

Reinhart Koselleck, "Erfahrungsraum' und 'Erwartungshorizont' - zwei historische Kategorien", in Vergangene Zukunft: Zur Semantik geschichtlicher Zeiten (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1979), 349-75.

This article was written independently from Adam Mestyan's forthcoming article "Austria-Hungary in Ottoman Arabic Political Thought: Ottoman Dualism, Imperial Comparison, and Ancillary History, 1867-1914". I am grateful for the chance to exchange our manuscripts in the later stage of revisions. Neither could I fully utilize the most