

278649

Farabi (060050)
 ibn Sina (090637)
 Ibn Rūd (090606)

AHMAD HASNAWI
 CNRS, UMR 7219, Paris

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELL'EDUCAZIONE E DEI PROCESSI CULTURALI E FORMATIVI
 Facoltà di Scienze della Formazione, Università degli Studi di Firenze

ISTITUTO E MUSEO DI STORIA DELLA SCIENZA
 Università degli Studi di Firenze

CENTRE D'HISTOIRE DES SCIENCES ET DES PHILOSOPHIES ARABES ET MÉDIÉVALES
 CNRS Paris

L'ÂGE DE LA DÉMONSTRATION.
 LOGIQUE, SCIENCE ET HISTOIRE:
 AL-FĀRĀBĪ, AVICENNE, AVEMPACE, AVERROÈS

CIRCOLAZIONE DEI SAPERI NEL MEDITERRANEO

FILOSOFIA E SCIENZE (SECOLI IX-XVII)

CIRCULATION DES SAVOIRS AUTOOUR DE LA MÉDITERRANÉE

PHILOSOPHIE ET SCIENCES (IX^e-XVII^e SIÈCLE)

01 Temmuz 2021

Atti del VII Colloquio Internazionale
 della Société Internationale d'Histoire des Sciences
 et de la Philosophie Arabes et Islamiques

Firenze, 16-28 febbraio 2006

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
 SONRA GELEN DOKÜMAN

a cura di

GRAZIELLA FEDERICI VESCOVINI e AHMAD HASNAWI

Edizioni Cadmo
 2013

Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı
İslam Araştırmaları Merkezi
Kütüphanesi
Dem. No:
278649
Tas. No:
501
COL. 1

Selon les philosophes de l'Islam classique, on entre, avec Aristote, dans un âge d'achèvement des sciences, un âge où celles-ci auraient atteint un statut *démonstratif*. Al-Fārābī (m. 950), Avicenne (m. 1037), Avempace (m. 1139), Averroès (m. 1198) partagent tous la même vision de la genèse et du développement des savoirs, laquelle consiste à projeter sur cette évolution le schéma des types d'arguments, développé dans l'*Organon* systématisé des commentateurs. Dans ce schéma, les arguments démonstratifs occupent le sommet de l'échelle, suivis, selon un ordre épistémique décroissant, par les arguments dialectiques, puis sophistiques et enfin rhétoriques. Cette projection, qui inverse l'ordre épistémique, s'accompagne d'une vision totalisante: chaque stade, caractérisé par l'usage de tel type d'arguments, n'est pas marqué seulement comme un stade de l'évolution de la logique, entendue comme une discipline spéciale. Dans cette reconstruction historique telle qu'opérée par ces philosophes, le type d'arguments dont on use à un moment donné n'est pas une simple enveloppe extérieure dans laquelle viendraient se couler des contenus identiques; il fonctionne comme l'outil d'investigation dont disposent les hommes à ce stade de leur histoire, outil qui définit ainsi le type de vérités auquel ils ont accès. Les outils logiques dont on dispose formeraient pour ainsi dire l'horizon qui borne l'activité théorique et pratique des hommes. Je tâcherai d'abord d'établir que tous ces philosophes cités partagent cette vision; j'en explorerai ensuite brièvement quelques conséquences. Deux de ces conséquences ont un caractère historique: l'une est la manière dont cette grille de lecture de l'histoire du savoir a pu jouer un rôle de filtrage à l'égard de la tradition grecque, déterminant ainsi ce qui de celle-ci pouvait passer à l'aire nouvelle, ou plutôt la forme de viabilité qu'il pouvait y recevoir; l'autre est la manière dont cette grille a permis de circonscrire la validité et les limites des nouvelles disciplines "particulières" ou "positives" nées à l'ombre de la nouvelle religion révélée, en particulier de la discipline du *kalām* ou théologie spéculative. Je traiterai séparément de ces deux effets. Les deux autres conséquences que j'envisagerai sont de nature plus "épistémologique": l'une concerne la manière dont doit maintenant s'exposer une discipline "scientifique"; l'autre, qui lui est étroitement liée, concerne le rôle de la dialectique sous son aspect d'investigation des principes des disciplines scientifiques.

العلامة الشهير الفيلسوف الحسين بن عبد الله بن سينا، أبو علي البُلْغُي ثم البخاري صاحب التصانيف في الطب والفلسفة والمنطق^(١). من أشهر الحكماء والأطباء

(١) لمزيد من المعلومات المفصلة عن حياته انظر المصادر التالية المتعلقة بترجمته وكذلك مقدمة بحثي: تفسير سورة الإخلاص للشيخ الرئيس أبي علي الحسين بن سينا تحقيق ودراسة، مجلة الشريعة والدراسات الإسلامية، ص ٣٩-٤٥، وانظر: سير أعلام النبلاء، ٣٢/١٧، ولمزيد من المعلومات انظر: شذرات الذهب في أخبار من ذهب، ٣٣٧-٣٤٤/٣، والأعلام، ٤٤١/٢، ودائرة المعارف، للبستاني، ٥٣٩-٥٣٥/١؛ ومحجر دائرة المعارف الإسلامية، ١٩٦/١، ومؤلفات ابن سينا، للقتواني، ١٥، حاشية رقم: ١؛ والفلسفة الطبيعية عند ابن سينا، ص ٣٧-٣١، وخصوصاً حاشية رقم ١، ص ٣١.

A.M. Goichon, art. "Ibn Sina", in *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, new ed., ed. B. Lewis, J. Schacht and others, Vol.111, (Leiden, 1986), pp.941-947.. See also George Hourani, "Avicenna, essay on the Secret of Destiny", in *Classics of Philosophy*, ed. Louis P. Pojman, (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998), pp. 429-431 and W. Montgomery Watt, *Islamic Philosophy and Theology an Extended Survey*, Edinburgh: the University Press, 19950, 2nd. ed., pp. 69-74.

هناك مصادر عديدة مفصلة في ترجمة ابن سينا ألقن الضوء على حياته وأسفاره وكتبه، وشيوخه، وتلامذته. ومن أهم هذه المصادر:

١- ترجمة تلميذه أبي عبد الواحد الجوزجاني التي أخذها مباشرة عن ابن سينا وأكملاها بما يعرفه من أحوال شيخه منذ أن لقيه وحق وفاته. وتعد هذه الترجمة مصدرًا لكل من ترجم ابن سينا.

٢- تاريخ حكماء الإسلام لظهير الدين أبي الحسن علي بن زيد البهيمي (ت ٥٦٥/١١٦٩م).

٣- أخبار العلماء بأخبار الحكماء، لأبي الحسن علي بن يوسف القسطلي (ت ٦٤٨/١٤٤٨م).

٤- عيون الأنبياء في طبقات الأطباء، لموفق الدين أحمد بن القاسم بن خليفة بن يوسف السعدي الشهير بابن أبي أصيبيعة (ت ٦٦٨/١٢٦٩م).

ونجد ترجمته في كتب التاريخ والتراجم العامة مثل: وفيات الأعيان لابن خلكان، والوافي بالوفيات لابن أبيك الصفدي، ومرأة الجنان للإياغي، والبداية والنهاية لابن كثير، ولا يكاد يخلو كتاب من كتب التراجم الغامقة أو الفلسفة أو الطب أو غيرها من ذكر ابن سينا، إلا أن ابن الجوزي أغفل في كتابه (المنتظم) ترجمته، وتأثر بذلك ابن الأثير فلم يذكره في (الكامل) إلا باختصار شديد مع الذم. وهناك مصادر لترجمته بالتركية وبالفارسية مثل ترجمة أحمد بن عمر بن علي المعروف بالظاهري العروضي السمرقندى في مصنفه المشهور: (جهاز مقالة). أما الكتابات الحديثة فكثيرة جداً وبلغات مختلفة، فقد نشرت له ترجمة في دمشق باللغتين العربية والفرنسية عام ١٩٨٦ =

Ibn Sina (090637)

تفسير سورة الأعلى

لابن سينا

مع دراسة منهجه في التفسير الفلسفى

محمد ١٩١٨
٨٧٦ ٥١٢ ٨٨



دراسة وتحقيق: عبد الله الخطيب
كلية الشريعة والدراسات الإسلامية
جامعة قطر

ملخص

بعد (تفسير سورة الأعلى) تفسيراً فلسفياً، انعكس فيه فكر ابن سينا الفلسفى من خلال دراسته لأمور ثلاثة، هي: الألوهية والثبوة والمعاد. أما في الألوهية فقد أثبت ابن سينا الألوهية وخلق الله العليم الحكيم للكون، بدليل العناية والاختراع، واستخدم دليلاً على الطبيعين الذين ينكرون خلق الله للكون وينسبونه للطبيعة. وأما في الثبوة فهو يؤكد جواهر النبي وطبيعته بأنها لا بد أن تكون كاملة القوى في الأمور العلمية والعملية، ليبلغ درجة الحكمة.

ركز ابن سينا على موقف المدعين من الثبوة، بين مؤمن ومنكير، ليؤكد مذهبه الداعي للتركيز على التدليل على صحة الثبوة بطريقة تأثيرها من خلال نص الوحي، بدلاً من التركيز على المعجزات. وأما في أمور المعاد فهو يؤكد مذهبه الداعي لحصول الحشر والنعيم والعقاب الروحاني بدلاً عن الحشر والنعيم والعذاب الحسي.

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
SONRA GELEN DOKÜMEN

الكلمات الدالة

[ابن سينا - التفسير الفلسفى - الألوهية - المعاد - الثبوة - سورة الأعلى]

01 Temmuz 2021

the alternation of triadic syllogisms is in the play, being in force the mutual permutation of the middle terms, both on a conceptual and an ontological level. For Hegel, the entire reality can be ideally explained through recurrent patterns of interchained and systematic syllogisms because, from his perspective, syllogistic interrelation and its respective mutual permutation of middle terms constitutes the logical framework of reality.

These, by and large, are the contents of this book. The editors' gratitude goes to all the authors who have synergically contributed to tell a great part of the history of the "aftermath of the syllogism," made by its retakes and vicissitudes, its critical dismissals and innovative reworks, its posthumous restorations and also its openness to future reconsiderations. The collection has shown unexpected lines of continuity, leaving the impression that a large part of the evolution of the shapes that syllogism has assumed (or has not assumed) throughout the centuries still waits to be retravelled, and a lot still remains to be told. Nonetheless, this present collection provides an excellent and many-sided approach to this peculiar matter, that certainly can declare itself a safe basis for future and omni-comprehensive studies. Thus, with the conviction that the fortune of syllogism as a fundamental logical structure of human reasoning will last in the philosophical time to come in new forms and in unthought aspects, we present this book for the benefit of the benevolent reader.

ibn Sina
090637

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
SONRA GELEN DOKUMAN

01 Temmuz 2021

The Aftermath of Syllogism: Aristotelian Logical Argument from Avicenna to Hegel, edit. Marco Igarbi; Matteo Cosci, London:
Bloomsbury Academic, 2018. 5. 11-34 ISBN 978-1-5013-2862-3

2

Existence and Modality in Avicenna's Syllogistic

Allan Bäck
Kutztown University

Islamic philosophers like Avicenna inherited the logical theory of Aristotle along with the rest of his corpus. They commented on the texts and developed the theory. In particular, Avicenna wrote a comparatively original, enormous "commentary" on the *Prior Analytics*. He paid especial attention to the truth conditions for the categorical proposition and to the modal syllogistic. As we shall see, these developments have ties to his own metaphysics.

Avicenna did not receive the syllogistic of the Greeks in a passive way. To be sure, in his youth he did so when he wrote literal commentaries on most of Aristotle's works.¹ These were apparently lost. However, much later, in Hammadān around 1016, Avicenna's students asked for him to replace them:

The hope of ever obtaining his lost works having dimmed, we asked him to write them and he said, "I have neither the time nor the inclination to occupy myself with close textual analysis and commentary. But if you would be content with whatever I have readily in mind [which I have thought] on my own, then I could write for you a comprehensive work arranged in the order which will occur to me."²

Here, in his mature work, Avicenna states clearly that he shall not be explicating Aristotle's thought. Rather, he shall be giving his own thoughts and theories on the topics and positions brought up by Aristotle.

So he considers his commentary to consist in reading the text and making comments on what he thinks the truth to be. Accordingly, Avicenna set out to comment upon a great portion of Aristotle's works, including the whole of the logic, much of the works on the natural sciences, and the *Metaphysics*. He completed this massive undertaking in but a few years, from 1016–27, if we are to believe the historical testimony—although it is likely that he used some earlier

286283

Bloomsbury Studies in the Aristotelian Tradition

ibn Sina

030637

General Editor:

Marco Sgarbi, Università Ca' Foscari, Italy

Editorial Board

Klaus Corcilius (*University of California, Berkeley, USA*); Daniel Garber (*Princeton University, USA*); Oliver Leaman (*University of Kentucky, USA*); Anna Marmodoro (*University of Oxford, UK*); Craig Martin (*Oakland University, USA*); Carlo Natali (*Università Ca' Foscari, Italy*); Riccardo Pozzo (*Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Rome, Italy*); Renée Raphael (*University of California, Irvine, USA*); Victor M. Salas (*Sacred Heart Major Seminary, USA*); Leen Spruit (*Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands*).

Aristotle's influence throughout the history of philosophical thought has been immense and in recent years the study of Aristotelian philosophy has enjoyed a revival. However, Aristotelianism remains an incredibly polysemous concept, encapsulating many, often conflicting, definitions. *Bloomsbury Studies in the Aristotelian Tradition* responds to this need to define Aristotelianism and give rise to a clear characterization.

Investigating the influence and reception of Aristotle's thought from classical antiquity to contemporary philosophy from a wide range of perspectives, this series aims to reconstruct how philosophers have become acquainted with the tradition. The books in this series go beyond simply ascertaining that there are Aristotelian doctrines within the works of various thinkers in the history of philosophy, but seek to understand how they have received and elaborated Aristotle's thought, developing concepts into ideas that have become independent of him.

Bloomsbury Studies in the Aristotelian Tradition promotes new approaches to Aristotelian philosophy and its history. Giving special attention to the use of interdisciplinary methods and insights, books in this series will appeal to scholars working in the fields of philosophy, history and cultural studies.

Available titles:

Elijah Del Medigo and Paduan Aristotelianism, Michael Engel

Phantasia in Aristotle's Ethics, edited by Jakob Leth Fink

Pontano's Virtues, Matthias Roick

The Aftermath of Syllogism

**Aristotelian Logical Argument
from Avicenna to Hegel**

Edited by

Marco Sgarbi and Matteo Cosci

01 Temmuz 2021

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
SONRA GELEN DOKÜMAN

Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı	
İslam Araştırmaları Merkezi	
Kütüphanesi	
Dem. No:	286283
Tas. No:	160 AFT-S

Bloomsbury Academic
An imprint of Bloomsbury Publishing Plc

BLOOMSBURY
LONDON • OXFORD • NEW YORK • NEW DELHI • SYDNEY

2018

285484

Ibn Sina (090637)

Fahreddin er-Razi (066018)

Studies in the History and Culture of the Middle East

Edited by
Stefan Heidemann, Gottfried Hagen,
Andreas Kaplony, Rudi Matthee,
and Kristina L. Richardson

Volume 35

01 Temmuz 2021

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
SONRA GELEN DOKUMAN

Michael-Sebastian Noble
**Philosophising
the Occult**

Avicennan Psychology and 'The Hidden Secret'
of Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī

Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Araştırmaları Merkezi Kütüphanesi
Dem. No: 285484
Tas. No: 181-2 HOB-P

DE GRUYTER

Berlin
2021

Ibn Sina
030637

Fahreddin er-Razi
060018

YAYIMLANDIKTAN
GELEN DOKUMAN

01 Temmuz 2021

Mantık-Metafizik İrtibatına Bir Örnek: İbn Sînâ ve Fahreddin Râzî'de Mahiyetin Bir Parçası Olarak Cins ve Fasıl*

Sacide Ataş**



Öz: İslami ilimler içerisinde mantık bir alet ilmi olmanın ötesinde, onu bir alet olarak kullanan alımın metafizik ve ontolojik kabullerini yansitan bir disiplindir. Mantığı bir alet ilmi olarak kullanan alımlerin kabullerini en iyi yansitan konulardan biri madde-suret ve cins-fasıl arasındaki paralelliktir. Cins ve fasıl, İbn Sînâci madde-suret teorisinin epistemolojideki yansamasıdır. Bu makalede madde-suretçi bir ontolojiyi kabul eden İbn Sînâ'nın cins-fasıl ve madde-suret arasındaki ilişkiyi nasıl tesis ettiği ve bunun tam karşısında yer alarak atomcu bir ontolojiyi kabul eden Fahreddin Râzî'nin cins ve fasıl, madde-suret teorisini kabul etmemeksizin nasıl açıkladığı incelenmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Cins, fasıl, madde, suret, mantık, ontoloji, metafizik.

Abstract: In the Islamic studies beyond being a tool science, logic is also a specific discipline that reflects the metaphysical and ontological assumptions of the scholars who used it as a tool. One of the features that best reflects the perceptions of the scholars who use logic as a tool science is the parallelism between the matter-form (*madda-sûra*) and the genus-differentia (*jins-fasîl*). The genus-differentia are the reflections of the Avicennian matter-form theory in epistemology. In this article, I examined how Ibn Sînâ accepting the matter-form ontology, approached to the relationship between the genus-differentia and the matter-form. Moreover, I analyzed, how Fakhr al-dîn al-Râzî, applying an atomic ontology, explained the genus differentia as on the contrary of the matter-form theory.

Keywords: Genus, differentia, matter, form, logic, ontology, metaphysics.

* Elinizdeki makale İSAM AYP akademik yazım atölyesi kapsamında hazırlanmıştır. Makalenin yazım süreci boyunca bana yol gösterip hatalarımı düzeltmen için metin hocam Doç. Dr. Berat Açı'a sonsuz şükranları sunarım. Ayrıca önemli tavsiyeler ile makaleye son halini vermemi sağlayan değerli hocam Doç. Dr. Egref Ataş'a teşekkürlerini bir borç bilişim. Son olarak yazım sürecinde gerek kaynaklara ısrat ederek gerekse bu kaynaklara ulaşmamı sağlayarak bana yardımcı olan sevgili arkadaşım Selman Sucu'ya teşekkür ederim.

** İstanbul Medeniyet Üniversitesi, İslam ve Türk Felsefesi Doktor Adayı. İletişim: sacide.adas@isam.org.tr.

DOI: dx.doi.org/10.12658/Nazariyat.6.1.M0091

ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7834-9662>

Makale Geçis Tarihi: 1 Şubat 2020

Makale Kabul Tarihi: 21 Şubat 2020

Ataş, Sacide. "Mantık-Metafizik İrtibatına Bir Örnek: İbn Sînâ ve Fahreddin Râzî'de Mahiyetin Bir Parçası Olarak Cins ve Fasıl", *Nazariyat* 6/1 (Nisan 2020): 209-225.

İstanbul 1.

ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR GESCHICHTE
DER ARABISCH-ISLAMISCHEN
WISSENSCHAFTEN

gegründet von
Fuat Sezgin

herausgegeben von
Gerhard Endress u. Eckhard Neubauer

in Zusammenarbeit mit
Farid Benfeghoul, Carl Ehrig-Eggert
Norbert Löchter, Gesine Yıldız

Band 22

MADDE YAYIMLANDIKTAN
SONRA GELEN DOKÜMAN



01 Temmuz 2021

2020

Institut für Geschichte der Arabisch-Islamischen Wissenschaften
an der Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität
Frankfurt am Main

Ibn Sina
090637

AN ARISTOTELIAN CLASSIFICATION OF THE SCIENCES –
BY AVICENNA?

HINRICH BIESTERFELDT* AND M. CÜNEYT KAYA**

The library of the Academia das Ciencias de Lisboa houses an Arabic collective manuscript that contains a *Risāla fi Ḍamīc aqsām ‘ulūm al-awā’il wa-mā llađī yaštamil ‘alayhi kull qism minhā wa-fī ayy al-kutub tūğad*, which is ascribed to Avicenna.¹ This sketch of the system and curriculum of the Aristotelian sciences, from *Logic* to *Metaphysics*, is a significant witness to the impact that the Greek commentators on Aristotle’s works had on Arabic and Latin scholarship. Hans Daiber has pointed out that the *Ḍamīc* is close to the outline and kind of bibliographical documentation of both Abū Sahl al-Masīḥī’s (died 401/1010 or later) *Aṣnāf al-‘ulūm al-ḥikmiyya* and Avicenna’s early *Aqsām al-‘ulūm al-‘aqliyya*, but not identical with either.² In a forthcoming article, Hinrich Biesterfeldt argues that the *Ḍamīc* might represent a systematically and chronologically intermediate version between Abū Sahl’s *Aṣnāf* and Avicenna’s *Aqsām*.³ Thus, it is obvious that the *Ḍamīc* does not stand in empty space. The authors of this article are currently working on both the sources and its echoes of this

* Hinrich Biesterfeldt, Orientalistik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Email:
hinrich.biesterfeldt@rub.de

** M. Cüneyt Kaya, History of Islamic Philosophy, Istanbul University, Email:
mckaya@istanbul.edu.tr

¹ Cf. Adel Sidarus, “Un recueil de traités philosophiques et médicaux à Lisbonne”, *Zeitschrift für Geschichte der Arabisch-Islamischen Wissenschaften* 6 (1990), 179–189, p. 186. Sidarus dates the manuscript (p. 180) at 764-5/1363-4, but see our édition whose *explicit* places our manuscript at 615/1218.

² “Microfilms and offprints from Arabic manuscripts in manuscript libraries, including some Persian and Syriac texts and two Latin manuscripts”, which are in Daiber’s possession, to be published as *Daiber Collection III* and *Daiber Collection IV* in his *From the Greeks to the Arabs and Beyond* as volumes V and VI in Leiden: Brill.

³ *Studia Graeco-Arabica* 10.

2.1-2.2